

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) 8:09CR87
vs.)
GENARO CANO-MANZO,)
Defendant.) ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Genaro Cano-Manzo (Cano-Manzo) (Filing No. 17). Cano-Manzo seeks an extension of thirty days in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order (Filing No. 11). Cano-Manzo's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Cano-Manzo's counsel represents Cano-Manzo will file an affidavit wherein he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

IT IS ORDERED:

Defendant Cano-Manzo's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 17) is granted. Cano-Manzo is given until **on or before May 22, 2009**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **April 24, 2009 and May 22, 2009**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 24th day of April, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge